

Performance Audit on 2008 SPLOST Revenues For the Year ended June 30, 2012



CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS, LLC

300 Mulberry Street, Suite 300 P.O. Box 1877 Macon, Georgia 31202-1877 Phone: (800) 277-0050 Facsimile: (478) 464-8051 Web: www.mjcpa.com



Table of Contents

Introduction	3
Audit Scope, Objectives, and Methodology	
Audit Results	6
Closing	9



Introduction

To the Members of the Board of Education Fayette County School System Fayetteville, Georgia

Georgia Code Section 20-2-491 requires public school systems to obtain continuing performance audits for expenditure of sales tax for capital outlays if the tax generates \$5 million or more annually. The independent performance audit shall:

- 1. Include a goal of ensuring, to the maximum extent possible, that the tax funds are expended efficiently and economically so as to ensure that the school system receives maximum benefit from the dollars collected.
- 2. Provide for issuance of periodic reports, not less than once annually, with respect to the extent to which tax funds are expended efficiently and economically as described in item 1 above.
- 3. Provide for issuance of periodic public recommendations, not less than annually for improvements in meeting the goal specified in item 1 above.

SPLOST, or "Special Purpose Local Option Sales Tax," is a referendum voted and approved by Fayette County voters in which one percent is added to the local sales tax for the purpose of funding building and renovation, including technology, projects for the Fayette County School System (the "School System") that would otherwise require financing through increasing residents' property taxes. SPLOST funds are also available for retiring general obligation bonded debt incurred with respect only to capital outlay projects.

The School System works under the direction of the Fayette County Board of Education (the "School Board") and its superintendent, and the projects selected for SPLOST funding are chosen by the School Board. The 2008 SPLOST funds are used specifically for (i) paying a portion of the principal and interest due on School District Series 1999, 2001 and 2005 general obligation bonds; (ii) adding to, renovating, repairing, improving, and equipping existing school buildings and school system facilities; (iii) purchasing miscellaneous new equipment, fixtures and furnishings for the school system, including technology infrastructure, equipment and software, and safety and security equipment; (iv) acquiring textbooks; and (v) acquiring school buses and transportation and maintenance equipment. The approved resolution for the SPLOST was for raising up to \$115,000,000.

Audit Scope, Objectives and Methodology

Audit Scope

M&J was engaged to conduct a performance audit of the 2008 SPLOST program. The audit focused on the School System's compliance with state and local laws, mandates, and the receipt and expenditure of sales tax proceeds for allowable SPLOST educational purposes.



The audit covers the period from July 1, 2011 to June 30, 2012. From a listing of all disbursements made during the specified time frame, we selected 60 disbursements to test, totaling \$1,982,457.

Audit Objectives

The overall objective of the performance audit was to evaluate whether the tax funds are expended efficiently and economically so that the School System is receiving maximum benefit from the dollars collected. The specific audit objectives were:

- To determine whether the schedule of projects adheres to the approved resolutions adopted by the Fayette County Board of Education,
- To determine that the reporting effectiveness between management of the School System and the Board of Education communicates the status of SPLOST projects to ensure that legislative, regulatory, and organizational goals and objectives are achieved,
- To determine the reliability of the monitoring function to verify that actual project expenditures are not exceeding budgeted amounts,
- To determine whether there is an effective means of monitoring program performance within a projected timeline, to evaluate the validity of expenditures, and to evaluate the timely completion of each project,
- To determine the reliability, validity or relevance of financial analyses to verify that cash flows conform to forecasted projections by project and priority, and that intended economic results are accomplished,
- To determine whether effective procedures exist to verify that design and implementation of SPLOST projects adhere to applicable quality control standards,
- > To determine the effectiveness of financial controls in place to ensure that the receipt and expenditure of tax revenue funds are in compliance with applicable laws and regulations, and
- > To determine whether management of the School System is following Board approved procurement policies and procedures.

Audit Methodology

We conducted this performance audit in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives.

To ensure that the SPLOST performance audit is adequately planned, performed and supervised, we performed the following steps:

<u>Planning</u>

Prior to the conduct of the audit, we determined mutual expectations in performing the engagement and meeting time lines. We discussed policies and procedures, methodologies, and other relevant aspects of the School System's SPLOST program with relevant staff. We requested various schedules from the School System's staff and discussed with them the date the fieldwork was expected to begin.



Preliminary Analytical Review

The preliminary analytical review provided direction to our audit approach. In this analysis, we developed expectations related to projects, program documentation, key personnel, program organization, and account balances and relationships among those account balances. During the audit, tests were designed to confirm the expectations developed during our preliminary analytical review. We also performed a preliminary analytical review to analyze the laws governing SPLOST funds and to identify in advance the critical audit risk areas.

<u>Risk Assessment</u>

Our audit approach is risk-based, whereby we assess risks for each identified objective. These assessments include an assessment of inherent and control risks to determine a combined risk assessment. Depending on the combined risk assessment, we performed substantive or analytical procedures, or a combination of both, to test the related objectives. These assessments were made during the planning process and throughout the engagement.

Understanding the Control Environment and Testing Key Controls

We examined the School System's internal controls related to the SPLOST program, focusing on formulating comments and suggestions for improving operations. We used a discussion memorandum format to document the relevant accounting cycles and processes from start to finish. We also completed questionnaires to further document an understanding of the School System's internal controls. Utilizing our understanding of the control environment, we tested certain internal controls to provide further support for the audit.

Preparation of a Tailored Audit Program

Based upon preliminary analytical review, control documentation and testing, audit programs were designed in order for conclusions to be reached for each audit objective.

<u>Reporting</u>

At the conclusion of the performance audit, we prepared a preliminary report of findings and a written analysis of proposed recommendations in draft form. This preliminary report and analysis was presented to the School System's representatives. After obtaining and incorporating management comments in our report and upon completion of the presentation and review of the report and analysis by the School System's representatives, we provided a public report of the results of the annual performance audit.



Audit Results

Based on the results of our audit, we conclude that the Fayette County School System's 2008 SPLOST Program is operating in compliance with all applicable laws and regulations, the referendums approved by the County's citizens, and industry best practices. The following are the specific results of our audit:

Objective #1: To determine whether the schedule of projects adheres to the approved resolution adopted by the Fayette County Board of Education

Procedures: M&J obtained a copy of the 2008 SPLOST Resolution as approved by the Board of Education and the voters of Fayette County. We obtained a detailed listing of all disbursements for capital projects from management and we vouched a sample of expenditures to supporting documentation such as vendor invoices.

Results: Based on the results of our disbursement test, all of the expenditures tested were related to projects approved in the SPLOST resolution.

Objective #2: To determine that the reporting effectiveness between management of the School System and the Board of Education communicates the status of SPLOST projects to ensure that legislative, regulatory and organizational goals and objectives are achieved

Procedures: M&J discussed the policies and procedures for communication with the Board with senior management. Based on our inquiries, management prepares an updated SPLOST report for the Board each month. We obtained and reviewed the agendas and minutes for all Board meetings during fiscal year 2012 noting the inclusion and discussion of the SPLOST projects.

Results: Based on the results of the inquiries made and review of agendas and minutes of the Board meetings, management of the School System has an effective method in place to communicate with the Board in regard to the financial and construction status of each project to ensure that legislative, regulatory, and organizational goals and objectives are achieved.

Objective #3: To determine the reliability of the monitoring function to verify that actual project expenditures are not exceeding budgeted amounts

Procedures: M&J discussed the monitoring of expenditures versus budget with senior management. Based on our inquiries, we ascertained that the Comptroller reviews and approves all project expenditures. The Comptroller maintains a cash flow spreadsheet for the SPLOST projects which includes purchase commitments, SPLOST receipts, and SPLOST expenditures. M&J also reviewed disbursements as described in Objective #1 for the Comptroller's approval and the minutes of the Board meetings noting that the Board is aware of all disbursements.



Results: Based on the results of inquiries made, review of Board minutes, and review of disbursements as part of our disbursement test, an adequate process is in place to monitor expenditures to ensure that actual project expenditures do not exceed budgeted amounts.

Objective #4: To determine whether there is an effective means of monitoring program performance within a projected timeline, to evaluate the validity of expenditures, and to evaluate the timely completion of each project

Procedures: M&J discussed the monitoring of projects with senior management. Based on our inquiries, we ascertained that management visits the sites upon completion of a particular school and approves the work completed before payment is remitted. M&J reviewed all minutes from the Board meetings noting that board members were being provided with information regarding the projects during the audit period. Additionally, M&J conducted a disbursement test, as described in Objective #1, to ensure that the School System had policies and procedures in place to ensure that expenditures are properly approved by an individual who reviews the work on site to ensure that invoices submitted are valid in regard to work completed.

Results: Based on the results of inquiries made and review of Board minutes, the School System has an effective program in place to monitor program performance and to ensure the timely completion of each project within the projected timeline. Additionally, the School System has policies and procedures in place to ensure that expenditures incurred and paid are valid expenditures of the SPLOST projects.

Objective #5: To determine the reliability, validity or relevance of financial analyses to verify that cash flows conform to forecasted projections by project and priority, and that intended economic results are accomplished

Procedures: Per discussions with senior management, management prepares a SPLOST update report and submits it to the Board at each month's Board meeting. M&J reviewed all agendas and minutes for all Board meetings for fiscal year 2012 noting inclusion of these updates. Additionally, per discussions with senior management, the Comptroller prepares a cash flow analysis based on actual SPLOST collections for management and the Board's review. M&J obtained and reviewed the cash flow analysis during our fieldwork.

Results: Based on the results of inquiries made, review of Board agendas and minutes, and review of cash flow analyses, there is an adequate process in place to monitor cash flows to ensure that financial analyses used for verifying that cash flows conform to forecasted projections by project and priority are reliable, valid, and relevant and that the intended economic results are accomplished.

Objective #6: To determine whether effective procedures exist to verify that design and implementation of SPLOST projects adhere to applicable quality control standards



Procedures: M&J discussed procedures in place to monitor the design and implementation of SPLOST projects with senior management. M&J conducted a disbursement test, as described in Objective #1, to ensure that the management of the School System had policies and procedures in place to ensure that expenditures are properly approved by an individual who reviews the work on site to ensure that invoices submitted are valid in regard to work completed.

Results: Based on the results of inquiries made and review of invoices, effective procedures are in place to verify that the design and implementation of the SPLOST projects adhere to applicable quality control standards.

Objective #7: To determine the effectiveness of financial controls in place to ensure that the receipt and expenditure of tax revenue funds are in compliance with applicable laws and regulations

Procedures: M&J discussed financial controls in place with senior management. M&J obtained and reviewed the Board meeting agendas and minutes for the audit period noting inclusion of a SPLOST financial update. Additionally, as described in Objective #1, M&J performed a disbursement test over expenditures.

Results: Based on the results of inquiries made, review of Board agendas and minutes, and results of our disbursement test, effective financial controls are in place to ensure that the receipt and expenditure of tax revenue funds are in compliance with applicable laws and regulations.

Objective #8: To determine whether management of the School System is following Board approved policies and procedures

Procedures: In order to test the procurement practices of the SPLOST Program, M&J obtained a copy of Board policy in regard to bids and procurements and held discussions with senior management regarding the procurement process for those contracts procured during the fiscal year.

Results: Based on discussions with senior management and review of Board policy, M&J ascertained that Board policy is being followed for contracts procured for SPLOST projects.



Fayette County School System 2008 SPLOST Performance Audit For the Year ended June 30, 2012

Closing

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Board of Education of Fayette County School System and its management and other officials, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Mauldin & Genkins, LLC

Macon, Georgia March 18, 2013

